

Planning and Zoning 1975 Lakeside Parkway, Suite 350 Tucker, GA 30084 Phone: 678-597-9040 Website: www.tuckerga.gov

Land Use Petition Application

Type of Application: RezoningComprehensive Plan AmendmentSpecial Land Use PermitConcurrent VarianceModification

APPLICANT INFORMATION				
Applicant is the: 🛛 Property Own	er 🗌 Owner'	s Agent 🛛 🗆 Co	ntract Purchaser	
Name: SDM Northlake, LLC				
Address: 445 Bishop Street, Suite 100				
City: Atlanta	State: Georgia		Zip: 30318	
Contact Name: Steve Martin				
Phone: 470-235-3500		Email: sdm@sdmpa	artners.com	
	OWNER INF	ORMATION		
Name: Same as applicant.				
Address:				
City:	State:		Zip:	
Contact Name:				
Phone: Email:				
	PROPERTY IN	IFORMATION		
Property Address: 2247 & 2251 Northla	ke Parkway			
Present Zoning District(s): NL-2		Requested Zoning	g District(s): N/A	
Present Land Use Category: Regiona	Activity Center	Requested Land L	Jse Category: N/	A
Land District: 18	Land Lot(s): ²¹⁰		Acreage: ^{6.25}	
Proposed Development: Adaptive re-	use conversion of offic	e building to multifamil	у	
Concurrent Variance(s): None				
RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT				
No. of Lots/Dwelling Units: ^{216 du}	Dwelling Unit Size	e (Sq. Ft.): ^{varies}	Density: ^{34.56 du,}	/ac
N	ON-RESIDENTIA	L DEVELOPMEN	Т	
No. of Buildings/Lots: N/A	Total Building Sq.	Ft.: N/A	Density: ^{N/A}	RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKE
				ULL OF TOORE

04/19/2022

LAND USE PETITION APPLICATION - REVISED DECEMBER 2021

PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT

PROPERTY OWNER'S CERTIFICATION

I do solemnly swear and attest, subject to criminal penalties for false swearing, that I am the legal owner, as reflected in the records of DeKalb County, Georgia, of the property identified below, which is the subject of the attached Land Use Petition before the City of Tucker, Georgia. As the legal owner of record of the subject property, I hereby authorize the individual named below to act as the applicant in the pursuit of the Application for Rezoning (RZ), Comprehensive Plan Amendment (CA), Special Land Use Permit (SLUP), Modification (M) & Concurrent Variance (CV) in request of the items indicated below.

I, SDM Northlake, LLC	, authorize,	SDM Northlake, LLC c/o Morris, Manning & Martin, LLF
(Property Owner)		(Applicant)
to file for SLUP	_, at2247 &	2251 Northlake Parkway
(RZ, CA, SLUP, M, CV)		(Address)
on this date April	11	, 20 ²²
(Month)	(Day)	

- I understand that if a rezoning is denied or assigned a zoning classification other than the classification requested in the
 application, then no portion of the same property may again be considered for rezoning for a period of twenty-four (24)
 months from the date of the mayor and city councils' final decision.
- I understand that if an application for a special land use permit affecting all or a portion of the same property for which an application for the same special land use was denied shall not be submitted before twenty-four (24) months have passed from the date of final decision by the mayor and city council on the previous special land use permit.
- I understand that failure to supply all required information (per the relevant Applicant Checklists and requirements of the Tucker Zoning Ordinance) will result in REJECTION OF THE APPLICATION.
- I understand that preliminary approval of my design plan does not authorize final approval of my zoning or signage request. I agree to arrange additional permitting separately, after approval is obtained.
- I understand that representation associated with this application on behalf of the property owner, project coordinator, potential property owner, agent or such other representative shall be binding.


Signature of Property Owner

Date

SDM Northlake, LLC - Steven D. Martin, Manager

Type or Print Name and Title Signature of Notary Public

Date



LAND USE PETITION APPLICATION - REVISED DECEMBER 2021

04/19/2022

SLUP-22-0002

APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION

THE UNDERSIGNED BELOW STATES UNDER OATH THAT THEY ARE AUTHORIZED TO MAKE THIS APPLICATION. THE UNDERSIGNED IS AWARE THAT NO APPLICATION OR REAPPLICATION AFFECTING THE SAME LAND SHALL BE ACTED UPON WITHIN 24 MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF LAST ACTION BY THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL.

Signature of Applicant

SDM Partners, LLC - Steven D. Martin, Manager

Type or Print Name and Title 1/1/1) Signature of Notary Public Notary Seal Date

RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

04/19/2022

LAND USE PETITION APPLICATION - REVISED DECEMBER 2021

PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT

DISCLOSURE REPORT FORM

WITHIN THE (2) YEARS IMMEDIATELY PRECEDING THE FILING OF THIS ZONING PETITION HAVE YOU, AS THE APPLICANT OR OPPONENT FOR THE REZONING PETITION, OR AN ATTORNEY OR AGENT OF THE APPLICANT OR OPPONENT FOR THE REZONING PETITION, MADE ANY CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS AGGREGATING \$250.00 OR MORE OR MADE GIFTS HAVING AN AGGREGATE VALUE OF \$250.00 TO THE MAYOR OR ANY MEMBER OF THE CITY COUNCIL.

CI	RC	IE	ON	IE.
U	πL	LC	U	NE.

YES (if YES, complete points 1 through 4);

(if NO, complete only point 4)

1. **CIRCLE ONE:** Party to Petition (If party to petition, complete sections 2, 3 and 4 below)

In Opposition to Petition (If in opposition, proceed to sections 3 and 4 below)

2. List all individuals or business entities which have an ownership interest in the property which is the subject of this rezoning petition:

1.	5.
2.	6.
3.	7.
4.	8.

3. CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS:

Name of Government Official	Total Dollar Amount	Date of Contribution	Enumeration and Description of Gift Valued at \$250.00 or more

4.

The undersigned acknowledges that this disclosure is made in accordance with the Official Code of Georgia, Section 36-67A-1 et. seq. Conflict of interest in zoning actions, and that the information set forth herein is true to the undersigned's best knowledge, information and belief.

Name (print) SDM Northlake, LLC Stev	ven Martin, Manager		RECEIVED
Signature:	Date: _	45	2204/19/2022

DISCLOSURE REPORT FORM

WITHIN THE (2) YEARS IMMEDIATELY PRECEDING THE FILING OF THIS ZONING PETITION HAVE YOU, AS THE APPLICANT OR OPPONENT FOR THE REZONING PETITION, OR AN ATTORNEY OR AGENT OF THE APPLICANT OR OPPONENT FOR THE REZONING PETITION, MADE ANY CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS AGGREGATING \$250.00 OR MORE OR MADE GIFTS HAVING AN AGGREGATE VALUE OF \$250.00 TO THE MAYOR OR ANY MEMBER OF THE CITY COUNCIL.

CIRCLE ONE:

YES (If YES, complete points 1 through 4);

NO (If NO, complete only point 4)

1. CIRCLE ONE: Party to Petition (If party to petition, complete sections 2, 3 and 4 below)

In Opposition to Petition (If in opposition, proceed to sections 3 and 4 below)

2. List all individuals or business entities which have an ownership interest in the property which is the subject of this rezoning petition:

1.	5.	
2.	6.	
3.	7,	
4.	8.	

3. CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTIONS:

Name of Government Official	Total Dollar Amount	Date of Contribution	Enumeration and Description of Gift Valued at \$250.00 or more
	_	_	

4. The undersigned acknowledges that this disclosure is made in accordance with the Official Code of Georgia, Section 36-67A-1 et. seq. Conflict of interest in zoning actions, and that the information set forth herein is true to the undersigned's best knowledge, Information and belief.

Name (print) Morris, Manning & Martin, LLP	
1A	Date: <u>4.11,22 RECEIVED</u>
Signature:	Date: <u>9.11, 22 CITY</u> OF TUCKER
or p	145
/	04/19/2022

LAND USE PETITION APPLICATION - REVISED DECEMBER 2021

PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT



Planning and Zoning 1975 Lakeside Parkway, Suite 350 Tucker, GA 30084 Phone: 678-597-9040 Website: www.tuckerga.gov

Land Use Petition Application Checklist

FOR ALL REZONINGS, COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENTS, SPECIAL LAND USE PERMITS, MODIFICATIONS, AND CONCURRENT VARIANCES

REQUIRED ITEMS	NUMBER OF COPIES	CHECK √
Pre-Application Meeting	• In Person	X
One (1) digital copy of all submitted materials	• One (1) flash drive or CD in .JPEG, .PDF format	x
Public Participation Report	• One (1) Copy	X
Application, Signature Pages, Disclosure Form	• One (1) Copy each	x
Written Legal Description	• One (1) 8 ½" x 11" Legal Description	X
Boundary Survey and Proposed Site Plan (See Page 9 for Requirements)	 One (1) Full-Size (24" x 36") Copies of each One (1) 8 ½" x 11" or 11x17 Site Plan of each 	X
Building Elevations (renderings or architectural drawings to show compliance with code)	• One (1) Copy	x
Letter of Intent	• One (1) Copy	X
Analysis of Standards/Criteria (See page 5)	• One (1) Copy	X
Environmental Site Analysis Form	• One (1) Copy	X
Trip Generation Letter (ITE Trip Generation Manual)	• One (1) Copy	X
THE FOLLOWING	ITEMS MAY BE REQUIRED	
Traffic Impact Study (See Sec. 46-1309)	• One (1) Copy	
Development of Regional Impact Review Form	Three (3) Copies	
Environmental Impact Report	• One (1) Copy	
Noise Study Report	• One (1) Copy	
Meeting with GDOT if impact to I-285 Eastside Express Lanes	• One (1) Copy	
Other items required per the Zoning Ordinance	• One (1) Copy	
LAND USE PE	TITION FEE SCHEDULE	
Residential Rezoning	\$500	
Multifamily/Non-Residential Rezoning	\$750	
Special Land Use Permit	\$400	
Comprehensive Plan Amendment	\$1000	
Modification	\$250	
Variance (includes Concurrent Variance)		IVED
Public Notice Sign Fee	\$80 (per required sign) CITY OF	TUCKER

04/19/2022



April 11, 2022

Henry A. Bailey 404-504-5446 hbailey@mmmlaw.com www.mmmlaw.com

City of Tucker Planning and Zoning 1975 Lakeside Parkway, Suite 350 Tucker, Georgia 30084

RE: Letter of intent for Special Land Use Permit (SLUP) at 2247 & 2251 Northlake Parkway, Tucker Georgia (the "<u>Property</u>").

To Whom it May Concern:

This application seeks approval of a SLUP request to accommodate the adaptive reuse of the existing office building located on the Property. Per the pre-application meeting held on March 2, 2022, this request is being made to allow the site to be developed with a density that is greater than 24 dwelling units per acre. Under the existing zoning, the property could contain a total of 148 multifamily units as a matter of right and this request proposes a total of 216 units. By granting the request herein, the existing office building will be repurposed for the multifamily use with parking accommodated in an existing parking structure on site.

Very truly yours,

Henry A. Bailey, Jr.

RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

04/19/2022

ANALYSIS OF STANDARDS/CRITERIA

ZONING MAP AMENDMENT CRITERIA

Section 46-1560 of the City of Tucker Zoning Ordinance lists standards and factors that are found to be relevant to the exercise of the city's zoning powers and shall govern the review of all proposed amendments to the Official Zoning Map. The applicant shall write a detailed written analysis of each standard and factor as it relates to their proposed project.

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN MAP AMENDMENT CRITERIA

Section Sec. 46-1559 of the City of Tucker Zoning Ordinance lists standards and factors that are found to be relevant for evaluating applications for amendments to the comprehensive plan map and shall govern the review of all proposed amendments to the comprehensive plan map. The applicant shall write a detailed written analysis of each standard and factor as it relates to their proposed project.

SPECIAL LAND USE PERMIT CRITERIA

Section 46-1594 and 46-1595 of the City of Tucker Zoning Ordinance lists specific criteria that shall be considered by the planning and zoning department, the planning commission, and the mayor and city council in evaluating and deciding any application for a special land use permit. No application for a special land use permit shall be granted by the mayor and city council unless satisfactory provisions and arrangements have been made concerning each of the following factors, all of which are applicable to each application, and the application is in compliance with all applicable regulations in Article 4. The applicant shall write a detailed written analysis of criteria as it relates to their proposed project.

CONCURRENT VARIANCE CRITERIA

Section 46-1633 of the City of Tucker Zoning Ordinance lists specific criteria the board shall use in determining whether or not to grant a variance. The applicant shall provide a written analysis of how the request complies with this criteria, if they are requesting a concurrent variance.

RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

04/19/2022

ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ANALYSIS FORM

Analyze the impact of the proposed rezoning and provide a written point-by-point response to Points 1 through 3:

1. <u>CONFORMANCE WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN</u>. Describe the proposed project and the existing environmental conditions on the site. Describe adjacent properties. Include a site plan that depicts the proposed project.

Describe how the project conforms to the Comprehensive Land Use Plan. Include the portion of the Comprehensive Plan Land Use Map which supports the project's conformity to the Plan. Evaluate the proposed project with respect to the land use suggestion of the Comprehensive Plan as well as any pertinent Plan policies.

- ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT. For each environmental site feature listed below, indicate the presence or absence of that feature on the property. Describe how the proposed project may encroach or adversely affect an environmental site feature. Information on environmental site features may be obtained from the indicated source(s).
 - a. Wetlands
 - U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, National Wetlands Inventory (<u>http://wetlands.fws.gov/downloads.htm</u>)
 - Georgia Geologic Survey (404-656-3214)
 - Field observation and subsequent wetlands delineation/survey if applicable
 - b. Floodplain
 - Federal Emergency Management Agency (<u>http://www.fema.org</u>)
 - Field observation and verification
 - c. Streams/stream buffers
 - Field observation and verification
 - d. Slopes exceeding 25 percent over a 10-foot rise in elevation
 - United States Geologic Survey Topographic Quadrangle Map
 - Field observation and verification
 - e. Vegetation
 - United States Department of Agriculture, Nature Resource Conservation Service
 - Field observation
 - f. Wildlife Species (including fish)
 - United States Fish and Wildlife Service
 - Georgia Department of Natural Services, Wildlife Resources Division, Natural Heritage Program
 - Field observation
 - g. Archeological/Historical Sites
 - Historic Resources Survey
 - Georgia Department of Natural Resources, Historic Preservation Division
 - Field observation and verification

RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

04/19/2022

Land use petition application - revised december 2021 $SLUP-22-0002 \label{eq:slup}$

ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ANALYSIS FORM (CONTINUED)

- 3. **PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION MEASURES**. Describe how the project implements each of the measures listed below as applicable. Indicate specific implementation measures required to protect environmental site feature(s) that may be impacted.
 - a. Protection of environmentally sensitive areas, i.e., floodplain, slopes exceeding 25 percent, river corridors.
 - b. Protection of water quality
 - c. Minimization of negative impacts on existing infrastructure
 - d. Minimization on archeological/historically significant areas
 - e. Minimization of negative impacts on environmentally stressed communities where environmentally stressed communities are defined as communities exposed to a minimum of two environmentally adverse conditions resulting from public and private municipal (e.g., solid waste and wastewater treatment facilities, utilities, airports, and railroads) and industrial (e.g., landfills, quarries and manufacturing facilities) uses.
 - f. Creation and preservation of green space and open space
 - g. Protection of citizens from the negative impacts of noise and lighting
 - h. Protection of parks and recreational green space
 - i. Minimization of impacts to wildlife habitats

RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

04/19/2022

Land use petition application - revised december 2021 SLUP - 22 - 0002

SITE PLAN CHECKLIST

All items must be included on the Site Plan; separate Site Plans may be necessary to address all items

- 1. Key and/or legend and site location map with North arrow
- 2. Boundary survey of subject property which includes dimensions along property lines that match the metes and bounds of the property's written legal description and clearly indicates the point of beginning
- 3. Acreage of subject property
- 4. Location of land lot lines and identification of land lots
- 5. Existing, proposed new dedicated and future reserved rights-of-way of all streets, roads, and railroads adjacent to and on the subject property
- 6. Proposed streets on the subject site
- 7. Posted speed limits on all adjoining roads
- 8. Current zoning of the subject site and adjoining property
- 9. Existing buildings with square footages and heights (stories), wells, driveways, fences, cell towers, and any other structures or improvements on the subject property
- 10. Existing buildings with square footages and heights (stories), wells, driveways, fences, cell towers, and any other structures or improvement or adjacent properties within 400 feet of the subject site based on the City's aerial photography or an acceptable substitute as approved by the Director
- 11. Location of proposed buildings (except single family residential lots) with total square footage
- 12. Layout and minimum lot size of proposed single family residential lots
- 13. Topography (surveyed or City) on subject site and adjacent property within 200 feet as required to assess runoff effects
- 14. Location of overhead and underground electrical and pipeline transmission/conveyance lines
- 15. Required and/or proposed setbacks
- 16. 100 year flood plain horizontal limits and flood zone designations as shown on survey or FEMA FIRM maps
- 17. Required landscape strips, undisturbed buffers, and any other natural areas as required or proposed
- 18. Required and proposed parking spaces; Loading and unloading facilities
- 19. Lakes, streams, and waters on the state and associated buffers
- 20. Proposed stormwater management facilities
- 21. Community wastewater facilities including preliminary areas reserved for septic drain fields and points of access
- 22. Availability of water system and sanitary sewer system
- 23. Tree lines, woodlands and open fields on subject site
- 24. Entrance site distance profile assuming the driver's eye at a height of 3.5 feet
- 25. Wetlands shown on the County's GIS maps or survey.
- 26. Mail kiosk location.

RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

04/19/2022

LAND USE PETITION APPLICATION - REVISED DECEMBER 2021

SLUP-22-0002

LAND USE PETITION CALENDAR

*Application Deadline	Planning Commission	M&CC 1 st Read	M&CC 2 nd Read
12/13/2021	1/20/2022	2/15/2022	3/14/2022
1/10/2022	2/17/2022	3/14/2022	4/11/2022
2/14/2022	3/17/2022	4/11/2022	5/9/2022
3/14/2022	4/21/2022	5/9/2022	6/13/2022
4/11/2022	5/19/2022	6/13/2022	7/11/2022
5/9/2022	6/16/2022	7/11/2022	8/8/2022
6/13/2022	7/21/2022	8/8/2022	9/12/2022
7/11/2022	8/18/2022	9/12/2022	10/10/2022
8/8/2022	9/15/2022	10/10/2022	11/14/2022
9/12/2022	10/20/2022	11/14/2022	12/12/2022
10/10/2022	11/17/2022	12/12/2022	TBD
11/14/2022	12/15/2022	TBD	TBD
12/12/2022	TBD	TBD	TBD

*Incomplete applications will not be accepted.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PLAN AND REPORT

See separate document.

PUBLIC NOTICE REQUIREMENTS

Sec. 46-1526 details the public notice requirements for land use petitions, which include public notice sign(s), advertisement in The Champion newspaper, and written notice to everyone within 500'.

- The applicant is responsible for posting the public notice sign(s). City of Tucker Staff will order the • signs(s) and provide the required timeframe for posting.
- The City of Tucker is responsible for placing the legal ad in The Champion newspaper •
- The City of Tucker is responsible for mailing the written notification to surrounding property owners. .

PROPERTY COMPLIANCE

All Occupational Tax payments must be paid in-full and any and all **CITY OF TUCKER** outstanding code violations on the property must be rectified prior to the public hearing.

RECEIVED

04/19/2022

LAND USE PETITION APPLICATION - REVISED DECEMBER 2021

SLUP-22-0002

SLUP Justifications 2247 & 2251 Northlake Parkway

1. Adequacy of the size of the site for the use contemplated and whether or not adequate land area is available for the proposed use including provision of all required yards, open space, off-street parking, and all other applicable requirements of the zoning district in which the use is proposed to be located.

The site is adequately sized to accommodate the use contemplated. The subject property is approximately 6.25 acres that is already improved with an existing office building and accessory parking structure with no additional development proposed. Both the office building and parking structure will remain as a part of the proposed development with the office building being adaptively reused and converted to multifamily. All other applicable zoning district requirements will be met by the proposed project.

2. Compatibility of the proposed use with adjacent properties and land uses and with other properties and land uses in the district.

The proposed use is compatible with the adjacent properties and land uses in the area. To the immediate south is a large commercial strip center with a variety of retail uses. To the east is a single family residential development. To the north are a cluster of various office uses. To the immediate west is a property under common ownership with the applicant of the subject property that was recently granted a rezoning in 2021 for the development of an 80 unit townhome community. The proposed multifamily use in the existing office building is compatible with the surrounding uses.

3. Adequacy of public services, public facilities, and utilities to serve the proposed use.

The property is adequately served by public infrastructure and utilities as the property is already improved and located in a developed area.

4. Adequacy of the public street on which the use is proposed to be located and whether or not there is sufficient traffic-carrying capacity for the use proposed so as not to unduly increase traffic and create congestion in the area.

Applicant is providing a traffic study as a part of this application that will address traffic and congestion issues in the area. It is anticipated that the street system is adequate to handle the trips generated by the proposed use even when combined with the trips generated from the townhome development. Regardless, there will be much fewer occupants and thus trips as a result of the conversion to multifamily from office.

5. Whether or not existing land uses located along access routes to the site will be adversely affected by the character of the vehicles or the volume of traffic generated by the proposed use.

The traffic study will address traffic volume in detail but it is not anticipated that there will be any adverse effects resulting from the traffic generated by the proposed use.

6. Adequacy of ingress and egress to the subject property and to all proposed buildings, structures, and uses thereon, with particular reference to pedestrian and automotive safety and convenience, traffic flow and control, and access in the event of fire or other emergency.

CITY OF TUCKER

04/19/2022

The ingress and egress to the property and the building is adequate to accommodate all pedestrian, automotive safety, convenience, traffic flow and control in the event of emergency. The site plan indicates ingress/egress from Northlake Parkway at two points that create a circuity of travel through the site that provides access to all points of the development in the event of emergency.

7. Whether or not the proposed use will create adverse impacts upon any adjoining land use by reason of noise, smoke, odor, dust, or vibration generated by the proposed use.

The proposed redevelopment of the office building into a multifamily use will not create adverse impacts upon any adjacent land uses by reason of noise, smoke or odor.

8. Whether or not the proposed use will create adverse impacts upon any adjoining land use by reason of the hours of operation of the proposed use.

The proposed use will not create adverse impacts upon any adjoining land use by reason of the hours of operation of the proposed use. The proposed use of the property is multifamily, which does not have hours of operation in the traditional sense.

9. Whether or not the proposed use will create adverse impacts upon any adjoining land use by reason of the manner of operation of the proposed use.

The proposed multifamily use will not create adverse impacts upon any adjoining land use by reason of the manner of operation. The property currently contains a vacant office building. If the office building was repopulated with new tenants, the use would be much more intense and impactful on the adjacent uses than the proposed multifamily use.

10. Whether or not the proposed use is otherwise consistent with the requirements of the zoning district classification in which the use is proposed to be located.

The proposed use is a permitted use in the zoning district. The only reason for the request proposed by this application is the density. By right, the property is permitted 148 units and this request increases that number to 216 units. Combining the 216 units with the 80 units from the immediately adjacent parcel to the west creates a density for the overall development under common control that is approximately 22 units per acre which would be less than the maximum 24 unit per acre requirement.

11. Whether or not the proposed use is consistent with the policies of the comprehensive plan.

The property is located in the Regional Activity Center character area. The stated goals of the Regional Activity Center include developing a higher density mix of retail, office, housing and services. Further, the character area includes a strategy to develop higher density housing types that specifically includes multifamily townhomes and apartments. The development proposed by this application is directly aligned with the policies of the comprehensive plan for the area.

12. Whether or not the proposed use provides for all required buffer zones and transitional buffer zones where required by the regulations of the zoning district in which the use is proposed to be located.

The property contains existing improvements that includes an office building and parking garage. No redevelopment of the property will occur as a part of this special land use permit request. Nonetheless, CEIVED there is no encroachment or planned encroachment into the required 50 foot buffer zones and CITY OF TUCKER

04/19/2022

SLUP-22-0002

transitional buffer. There are no improvements planned between the existing improvements and adjacent residential areas.

13. Whether or not there is adequate provision of refuse and service areas.

Refuse and service areas are adequately accommodated as shown on the site plan.

14. Whether the length of time for which the special land use permit is granted should be limited in duration.

The special land use permit should be granted for an unlimited duration.

15. Whether or not the size, scale and massing of proposed buildings are appropriate in relation to the size of the subject property and in relation to the size, scale and massing of adjacent and nearby lots and buildings.

As stated previously, this application proposes the adaptive reuse of an existing office building and parking structure. There will be no redevelopment of the existing improvements. Nonetheless, considering the surrounding area and proximity to significant commercial centers, the size and scale of the buildings are appropriate.

16. Whether the proposed use will adversely affect historic buildings, sites, districts, or archaeological resources.

There are no historic buildings, sites, districts or archaeological resources that will be affected by the proposed redevelopment of the property.

17. Whether the proposed use satisfies the requirements contained within the supplemental regulations for such special land use permit.

There are no supplemental regulations for the proposed use.

18. Whether or not the proposed use will create a negative shadow impact on any adjoining lot or building as a result of the proposed building height.

The buildings are existing and currently do not cast a negative shadow impact on adjoining lots.

19. Whether the proposed use would result in a disproportionate proliferation of that or similar uses in the subject character area.

While there are other multifamily developments located within the character area, the proposed use will not result in a disproportionate proliferation of such uses. Other multifamily projects located in the immediate area are not within the city limits.

20. Whether the proposed use would be consistent with the needs of the neighborhood or the community as a whole, be compatible with the neighborhood, and would not be in conflict with the overall objective of the comprehensive plan.


As stated previously, the proposed project furthers the goal of the comprehensive plan by adding CEIVED multifamily density. Additionally, considering the location of the property in an area that is highly developed with a variety of uses, the proposed use offers an opportunity to provide infill development OF TUCKER

04/19/2022

in area that would otherwise be used as office space. Demand in the office market is not sufficient enough at this location to support repopulating the office with new tenants. The proposed use is consistent with the needs of the neighborhood and community as a whole as underutilized property is being reactivated with a needed new housing product. This use is compatible with the neighborhood as it adds housing product type and contributes to the overall mix of uses in the area that creates a true neighborhood. One of the overarching policies for the City of Tucker Comprehensive Plan is to preserve and improve neighborhoods. The proposed adaptive re-use helps to preserve and improve on this existing neighborhood by taking an existing underutilized property and reinvigorating it with a fresh and new use in the community landscape. Further, the proposed use is consistent with the conversion of underutilized office buildings into residential uses in the broader market. Removal of this building from the area office market should have a beneficial effect on the market.

RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

04/19/2022




This document, together with the concepts and designs presented herein, as an instrument of service, is intended only for the specific purpose and client for which it was prepared. Reuse of and improper reliance on this document without written authorization and adaptation by Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

e: K:\ALP_PRJ\017173001_Northlake Multifamily\CAD\PlanSheets\C2-00 - SITE PLAN.dwg C2-00 SUP SITE PLAN Apr 11, 2022 3:17pm by: Teagan Frank

.eq	end	
- 99	U IM	

APN Assessor parcel number CTP Crimped top pipe found R DB Deed book L.L. Land lot N/F Now or formerly PG Page POB Point of Beginning _____ _____ — · · · — · · · — Land lot line _____






A Topcon GTS-313 (total station), Topcon traversing equipment, a 200 foot tape were used to obtain the angular and linear measurements for this survey.

The field data upon which this plat is based has a closure precision of one foot in 45,548 feet, an angular error of 1 seconds per angle point, and was adjusted using Compass Rule. This plat has been calculated for closure and found to be accurate within one foot in 993,341 feet. Property as shown creates a mathematically closed figure without any gores, gapes, or overlaps.

FLOOD NOTE:


By graphic plotting only, this property is in Zone "X" Flood Insurance Rate Map Number 13089C0076K which bears a revised date of August 15, 2019 and is not in a special flood hazard area by contact dated March 08, 2021 to the National Flood Insurance Program http://www.fema.gov/ we have learned this community does currently participate in the program, no field surveying was performed to determine this zone and an elevation certificate may be needed to verify this determination or apply for a variance from the Federal Emergency Management Agency.



R/W LAVISTA ROAD

Area

Total area of subject property is approximately 6.439 acres (280,352 square feet).



Combined Legal Description

2247 and 2251 Northlake Parkway

All that tract or parcel of land lying and being in Land Lot 210 of the 18th District, DeKalb County, Georgia, and being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a PK nail found on the eastern right-of-way line of Northlake Parkway (80-foot right-of way), said PK nail located a distance of 1,148.70 feet, as measured northeasterly along said right-of-way line of Northlake Parkway, and following the curvature thereof, from the intersection of the eastern right of-way line of Northlake Parkway with the northerly right-of-way line of LaVista Road (also known as State Route 236) (right-of-way width varies); running along said right-of-way line of Northlake Parkway, North 00 degrees 00 minutes 30 seconds East a distance of 296.50 feet to a 1/2-inch rebar found at the intersection of said right-of-way line of Northlake Parkway with the eastern right-of-way line of Northlake Parkway (right-of-way width varies); running thence along said right-of-way line of Northlake Parkway, North 00 degrees 00 minutes 30 seconds East a distance of 478.30 feet to a 1/2-inch rebar found; thence leaving the eastern right-of way line of Northlake Parkway, and running North 89 degrees 37 minutes 30 seconds East a distance of 397.15 feet to the Point of Beginning; thence North 89 degrees 37 minutes 30 seconds East a distance of 540.75 feet to a 1-inch axle found at stone on the land lot line dividing Land Lots 210 and 211, aforesaid district and county; running thence along said land lot line, South 00 degrees 12 minutes 00 seconds East a distance of 402.80 feet to a 1/2-inch rebar found; thence leaving said land lot line, and running South 89 degrees 49 minutes 50 seconds West a distance of 272.70 feet to a 1/2-inch rebar found; running thence South 00 degrees 10 minutes 10 seconds East a distance of 237.18 feet; thence South 89 degrees 37 feet 30 seconds West a distance of 265.97 feet; thence North 00 degrees 22 minutes 30 seconds West a distance of 639 feet to the Point of Beginning.

Said property contains 272,220 square feet (6.25acres) more or less.

RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

04/19/2022

PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT

Kimley »Horn

MEMORANDUM

To: Jillian Japka, SDM Northlake, LLC

From: Harrison Forder, P.E., *Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc* John D. Walker, P.E., PTOE, *Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.*

Date: April 6, 2022

RE: SDM Northlake Multifamily – City of Tucker, Georgia – Trip Generation Comparison

Kimley-Horn is pleased to provide this memorandum regarding the project trip generation for the proposed *SDM Northlake Multifamily* development in the City of Tucker, Georgia.

PROJECT OVERVIEW

The *SDM Northlake Multifamily* development is a proposed conversion of an unoccupied 9-story office building (299,775 SF) to a multifamily residential development located on 5.04 acres at 2247 Northlake Parkway in the City of Tucker, Georgia. Please see **Figure 1** for an aerial of the site. Adjacent to the multifamily development, a separate 80-townhome development (new construction) is proposed.

The trip generation comparison will be based on the following scenarios:

- 1. Existing Office Building (299,775 SF General Office Building)
- 2. Proposed Multifamily Development (200 multifamily residential units)
- 3. Proposed Multifamily Development + Adjacent Townhome Development (200 multifamily residential units + 80 townhomes)

The purpose of this memorandum is to compare the trip generation potential of the current land use versus the proposed land use.

TRIP GENERATION

Project traffic, for the purposes of this evaluation, is defined as the vehicle trips expected to be generated by the proposed development. Anticipated trip generation for the *Northlake Parkway* development was calculated using rates and equations contained in the Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) *Trip Generation Manual*, 10th Edition, 2017.

The density and the anticipated project trip generation are summarized in **Table 1**.

RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

04/19/2022

770 619 4280 G & ZONING DEPARTMENT

Kimley **»Horn**

Table 1: Trip Generation (Gross Trips)											
ITE Density			D	aily Traff	ic	AM Peak			PM Peak Hour		
Land Use	Code	Density	Total	Enter	Exit	Total	Enter	Exit	Total	Enter	Exit
			Scen	ario 1 – E	Existing C	Office Bu	ilding				
General Office Building	710	299,775 SF	3,078	1,539	1,539	308	265	43	323	52	271
		So	cenario 2	– Propos	sed Multi	family De	evelopme	ent			
Multi-Family Housing (Mid-Rise)	221	200 Units	1,088	544	544	68	18	50	86	52	34
Difference in	Gross Pro	oject Trips	-1,990	-995	-995	-240	-247	+7	-237	0	-237
% Difference	in Gross P	roject Trips	-65%	-65%	-65%	-78%	-93%	+16%	-73%	0%	-87%
	Scenar	rio 3 – Propos	ed Multifa	amily Dev	velopmer	nt + Adja	cent Tow	nhome De	velopmer	nt	
Multi-Family Housing (Low-Rise)	220	80 Units	564	282	282	39	9	30	48	30	18
Multi-Family Housing (Mid-Rise)	221	200 Units	1,088	544	544	68	18	50	86	52	34
Total Gross Trips		ips	1,652	826	826	107	27	80	134	82	52
Difference in Gross Project Trips		-1,426	-713	-713	-201	-238	+37	-189	+30	-219	
% Difference	in Gross P	Project Trips	-46%	-46%	-46%	-65%	-90%	+86%	-59%	+58%	-80%

Based on **Table 1**, the proposed *SDM Northlake Multifamily* development is projected to generate approximately 1,990 fewer total daily trips (995 in; 995 out), 240 fewer AM peak hour trips, and 237 fewer PM peak hour trips than the trip generation potential of the existing 9-story office building. The proposed *SDM Northlake Multifamily* and adjacent townhome development together are projected to generate approximately 1,426 fewer total daily trips (713 in; 713 out), 201 fewer AM peak hour trips, and 189 fewer PM peak hour trips than the trip generation potential of the existing 9-story office building.

RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

SLUP-22-0002

04/19/2022

K:\ALP_TPTO\013898001_2247 Northlake Multifamily TIA - Tucker - March 2022\Trip Gen Compare Memo\2022-04-06_SDM Northlake Trip Gen M Mo & ZONING



Land Use Petition Process for Rezonings, Special Land Use Permits, and Comprehensive Plan Amendments

1	Before filing, the applicant must host a neighborhood meeting per our Public Participation Plan requirements. The point of this meeting is for the potential applicant to discuss the proposal with neighbors and get feedback or requests for changes. More information can be found here: <i>tuckerga.gov/ppp</i>
2	The applicant files an application with the City of Tucker. Deadlines are listed in the Land Use Petition Application.
2 3	Staff completes a technical analysis of the application based on the City of Tucker Zoning Ordinance and Comprehensive Plan. This report includes a staff recommendation.
4	 The City holds Public Meetings Planning Commission Staff presentation of the staff report and staff recommendation Public Hearing, which includes the applicant's presentation and a chance for the public to speak for or against the application The Planning Commission votes on a recommendation to forward to City Council Mayor & City Council – 1st Read Staff presentation of the staff report and staff recommendation Public Hearing, which includes the applicant's presentation and a chance for the public to speak for or against the applicant's presentation and a chance for the public to speak for or against the applicant's presentation and a chance for the public to speak for or against the application Mayor & City Council – 2nd Read Staff presentation of the staff report and staff recommendation Public Hearing, which includes the applicant's presentation and a chance for the public to speak for or against the applicant's presentation and a chance for the public to speak for or against the applicant's presentation and a chance for the public to speak for or against the applicant's presentation and a chance for the public to speak for or against the applicant on the request

Decisions on applications are based on the criteria that is set forth in the zoning ordinance. RECEIVED The criteria is located here: *tuckerga.gov/landusecriteria* CITY OF TUCKER

Please note that some applications, such as those that meet the Development of Regional Impact (DRI) standards, will have additional steps between filing an application and the Public Hearing. 04/19/2022

For information about current land use petitions, including application information and public meeting NING DEPARTMENT dates, please visit: *tuckerga.gov/landusepetitions*

Kimley »Horn

Jillian Japka, April 6, 2022, Page 3

We hope this information is helpful. If you have any questions concerning this letter or need additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely, KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

John Di alker

John D. Walker, P.E., PTOE Senior Vice President/Senior Associate

Harrison D. F.

Harrison Forder, P.E. Project Engineer

Attachments:

- 1. Site Aerial
- 2. Trip Generation Analysis

RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

04/19/2022

PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT

SLUP-22-0002

K:\ALP_TPTO\013898001_2247 Northlake Multifamily TIA - Tucker - March 2022\Trip Gen Compare Memo\2022-04-06_SDM Northlake Trip Gen Memo.docx

770 619 4280



Kimley **»Horn**

SDM Northlake Multifamily Trip Generation Memorandum

Trip Generation Analysis (10th Ed. with <i>2nd Edition Handbook</i> Daily IC <i>& 3rd Edition</i> AM/PM IC) SDM Northlake Multifamily Site - Scenario 1 - Existing Office City of Tucker, GA								
Land Use	Intensity	Daily	AN	I Peak H	our	PM	Peak H	our
		Trips	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out
Proposed Site Traffic 710 General Office Building	299,775 s.f.	3,078	308	265	43	323	52	271
Gross Trips		3,078	308	265	43	323	52	271

RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

04/19/2022

PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT

Trip Generation Analysis (10th Ed. with <i>2nd Edition Handbook</i> Daily IC & <i>3rd Edition</i> AM/PM IC) SDM Northlake Multifamily Site - Scenario 2 - Proposed Multifamily City of Tucker, GA								
Land Use Intensity Daily AM Peak Hour PM Peak Hour							our	
		Trips	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out
Proposed Site Traffic 221 Multi-Family Housing (Mid-Rise)	200 d.u.	1,088	68	18	50	86	52	34
Gross Trips		1,088	68	18	50	86	52	34

RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

04/19/2022

PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT

Trip Generation Analysis (10th Ed. with <i>2nd Edition Handbook</i> Daily IC <i>& 3rd Edition</i> AM/PM IC) SDM Northlake Multifamily Site - Scenario 3 - Proposed Multifamily and Townhomes City of Tucker, GA								
Land Use Intensity Daily AM Peak Hour					PM	PM Peak Hour		
		Trips	Total	In	Out	Total	In	Out
Proposed Site Traffic								
220 Multi-Family Housing (Low-Rise)	80 d.u.	564	39	9	30	48	30	18
221 Multi-Family Housing (Mid-Rise)	200 d.u.	1,088	68	18	50	86	52	34
Gross Trips		1,652	107	27	80	134	82	52

RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

04/19/2022

PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT



March 10, 2022

VIA U.S. MAIL

Dear Neighbors of 2245 & 2247 Northlake Parkway:

I represent SDM Partners, which owns the office buildings located at the above addresses. You may recall that the 2245 parcel, which is the front and older building, was rezoned last year to allow an 80-unit townhome development. That property is included in this discussion because of the need to modify a condition of that approval to relocate visitor parking. Otherwise, that property remains as approved and we expect development on this townhome project to begin this spring.

The other application involves the newer office building which has been vacant for several years. The owner has made constant attempts to lease the building, but, exacerbated by the pandemic, those efforts have not resulted in any tenants. SDM has a partnership with Third & Urban to convert the existing building to allow a total of 216 multifamily units. The building footprint will not change and parking will be accommodated in the existing deck. The area to the rear of the building will not be affected and access will remain solely from Northlake Parkway. Under the existing zoning, the property could contain a total of 148 multifamily units as a matter of right and we will be filing a special use permit with the City to allow the increase to 216 units.

Before we file the application with the City, we need to hold a public participation meeting to discuss this proposal and you are receiving this letter as someone who owns property within 500 feet of the proposed development. That meeting is scheduled for 6 p.m. on Wednesday, March 30, 2022 in the lobby of the 2247 office building. We hope that you will be able to meet with us at that time. To give you more information before we meet, we have included a site plan showing both developments. If you are unable to attend or wish to reach out to us beforehand, please contact Jillian Japka (jillianj@thirdandurban.com). A flyer outlining the land use petition process in the City of Tucker is also included and questions related to City issues can be addressed to info@tuckerga.gov.

Thank you and we look forward to seeing you on March 30th.

Very truly yours,

RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

Carl E. Westmoreland


04/19/2022

PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT

SLUP-22-0002

Carl E. Westmoreland 404-504-7799 cwestmoreland@mmmlaw.com www.mmmlaw.com

SITE PLAN



UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE ®				Certific	cate of Mailin	ng — Firm			
ame and Address of Sender Henry Bailey Morris, Manning & Martin, LLP 3343 Peachtree Road, NE, Suite 1600 Atlanta, Georgia 30326	TOTAL NO. of Pieces Listed by Sender 55 Postmaster, per (name of receivin	TOTAL NO. of Pieces Received at Post Office™		ZIP 30326 02 4W	US POSTAGE MPITNEY BOWES US POSTAGE MPITNEY BOWES US POSTAGE \$ 004.50° 02 4W 0000373718 MAR 10 2022				
USPS® Tracking Number	Ad (Name, Street,	Idress City, State, and ZIP ode™)	Postage	Fee	Special Handling	Parcel Airlift			
Firm-specific Identifier				INTA	MAIN				
1.	3000 LANGFORD ROAD 3100 MEDLOCK BRIDG NORCROSS GA 30071		1999 - Carlos A.	ATUAN	MAIN POSTO				
2.	AHMED GEORGIA PRO 37249 ALLEPO DR NEWARK CA 94560	PERTY TRUST		USPS P	0 2022 FFICE				
3.	ALBE BRIAN 2234 WINDING WAY TUCKER GA 30084			TLANTA, G	A 30304				
4.	ASSOCIATION FOR HAL CONDOMINIUMS PO BOX 767847	BERSHAM AT NORTHLAKE							
5.	BEVEL PATRICIA N 2267 WENDER DR TUCKER GA 30084								
6.	BP AND JN LLC 1159 GAVINWOOD PL DECATUR GA 30033								
7.	BUNTING JOHN D & BU 2204 WINDING WAY TUCKER GA 30084	UNTING MAYIRA				CEIVED OF TUCKE			
8.	C F S INVESTMENT CO 3756 LAVISTA RD STE TUCKER GA 30084				04/	19/2022			
9.	CHENG MINDER 12410 BERAGIO PL ALPHARETTA GA 3000	4				NG & ZONIN ARTMENT			

a.

- GV.		
10.	CURCIO ALEXIS 2268 WENDER DR TUCKER GA 30084	
11 _{.5}	DAN CHAPMAN AND ASSOCIATES LLC P.O. BOX 1343 CONYERS GA 30012	ZIP 30326 \$ 007.50 ° 02 4W 0000373718 MAR 10 2022
12.	DECIDE DEKALB DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY 5355 MIRA SORRENTO PL STE 100 SAN DIEGO CA 92121	NTA MAYA
13.	DECIDE DEKALB DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY 2 DECATUR TOWN CENTER STE 150 DECATUR GA 30030	ATLANTA MAYAV POR
14.	DS AND SL LLC 3554 HABERSHAM AT NORTHLAKE RD TUCKER GA 30084	USED MAR 10 OFFICE
15.	FIDELITY BANK 160 CLAIREMONT AVE # 100 DECATUR 30030	7777, GA 30304
16.	FIDELITY NATIONAL BANK PO BOX 105075 ATLANTA GA 30348	
17.	GASM LLC 275 CARPENTER DR NE # 201 ATLANTA GA 30328	
18.	GUNTER JOHN W & GUNTER KAY S 2334 CASTLERIDGE CT TUCKER GA 30084	
19.	HEYWARD INCORPORATED (ATLANTA) 3590 HABERSHAM AT NORTHLA TUCKER GA 30084	
20.	HINES WILLIAM JACKSON II & HINES DAWN 2245 WENDER DR TUCKER GA 30084	
21.	IRELAND VALLEY PROPERTY HOLDINGS LLC 3546 HABERSHAM AT NORTHLAKE BLDG 5 TUCKER GA 30084	RECEIVED
22.	JR COMMERCIAL LLC 2844 TALISMAN CT NE ATLANTA GA 30345	CITY OF TUCKER
23.	KLEEMEIER ROBERT B & KLEEMEIER CAROL P 2135 STARFIRE DR NE ATLANTA GA 30345	04/19/2022
24.	KRAMER CHRISTOPHER J 2329 CASTLERIDGE CT MARIETTA GA 30064	PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT

.

25.	LAMPIRIS ELIAS & LAMPIRIS SANDRA 2212 WINDING WAY TUCKER GA 30084	
26.	LONG LYNN R 2284 WENDER DR TUCKER GA 30084	ZIP 30326 \$ 007.50° 02 4W 0000373718 MAR 10 2022
27.	MCINTOSH SOFYA M & MCINTOSH RICHARD LINCOLN 3621 WINBROOKE LN	LANTA MAIN DOG
28.	NEW BRIDGE PROPERTIES LLC 9590 MEDLOCK BRIDGE RD # G DULUTH 30097	R. O.
29.	NEWTON JACK & KAY MICHAEL 2254 WENDER DR TUCKER GA 30084	WAR 10 2022
30.	NORTHLAKE CRYSTAL LLC 240 WESTBROOK DR ACWORTH GA 30101	P.T. ANTA, GA 30304
31.	NUCLEAR MEDICINE TECHNOLOGY CERTIFICATION BOARD INC. 3558 HABERSHAM AT NORTHLA	
32.	PASHCHENKO BRITTANY TODD & PASHCHENKO OLEKSANDR 2262 WENDER DR	
33.	PBJ REALTY INVESTMENTS LLC 1159 GAVINWOOD PL DECATUR GA 30033	
34.	PH HABERSHAM LLC 3562 HABERSHAM AT NORTHLAKE BLDG J TUCKER GA 30084	
35.	PROFESSIONAL CASE MANAGEMENT SERVICES OF AMERICA INC 4298 OLD QUITMAN HWY	
36.	PUGH KENNETH W SR & PUGH LISA 2255 WENDER DR TUCKER GA 30084	RECEIVED
37.	RAXTER CECILIA R 2228 WINDING WAY TUCKER GA 30084	CITY OF TUCKER
38.	REDWINE CHARLES D II & REDWINE WANDA G 2282 WENDER DR TUCKER GA 30084	04/19/2022
39.	SANDERS DAVID E B III & SANDERS JOHNNIE L 3635 WINBROOKE LN TUCKER GA 30084	PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT

-

40.	SDM NORTHLAKE LLC 1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS FLOOR 50 NEW YORK NY 10020	
41.	SDM NORTHLAKE LLC 445 BISHOP ST STE 100 ATLANTA GA 30318	ZIP 30326 \$ 007.50⁰ 02 4W 0000373718MAR 10 2022
42.	SHEREE MANAGEMENT LLC 311 MONTROSE DR MCDONOUGH GA 30253	ANTA MAIN
43.	SLAWSON MARK & SLAWSON KATHY S 2242 WINDING WAY TUCKER GA 30084	ANA OST
44.	SMITH GARY W & SMITH ONA B 3550 HABERSHAM AT NORTHLA TUCKER GA 30084	SPS N 10 7022 FFICE
45.	SPICKERMAN MARICELA CHAVEZ & SPICKERMAN JOHN F. III 2286 WENDER DR	T.P. 174, GA 30304
46.	STONE JASON R & STONE KAREN W 2275 WENDER DR TUCKER GA 30084	
47.	SYCAMORE INVESTMENTS INC PO BOX 584 LITHONIA GA 30058	
48.	THRIVIKRAMAN K V & THRIVIKRAMAN SUBHASHINI 3629 WINBROOKE LN TUCKER GA 30084	
49.	TILLERY JAMES E & YUN MYLIHN MILLA 2220 WINDING WAY TUCKER GA 30084	
50.	TUCKER COMMONS LLC 5570 STONE CREEK DR STONE MOUNTAIN GA 30087	
51.	TUCKER MERIDIAN LLC 19241 BIRMINGHAM HWY ALPHARETTA GA 30004	RECEIVED
52.	WELLER ERIN LEIGH & DALTON BLAKE WALLER 3613 WINBROOKE LN TUCKER GA 30084	CITY OF TUCKER
53.	WILLET GLENDA V 3528 HABERSHAM AT NORTHLA TUCKER GA 30084	04/19/2022 PLANNING & ZONING
54.	WINDING VISTA RECREATION ASSOC PO BOX 643 TUCKER GA 30084	DEPARTMENT

PS Form 3665, January 2017 (Page 4 of 5) PSN 7530-17-000-5549

55.	WINDING VISTA RECREATION INC PO BOX 643 TUCKER GA 30084		
10 5		公告出版	02 4W 0000373718 MAR 10 2022



RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

04/19/2022

PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT



Carl E. Westmoreland 404-504-7799 cwestmoreland@mmmlaw.com www.mmmlaw.com

April 5, 2022

Kylie Thomas Planner II Planning and Zoning City of Tucker, GA <u>kthomas@tuckerga.gov</u>

RE: 2245 and 2247 Northlake Parkway

Dear Kylie:

This letter is a report on the public participation meeting held for the applications which will be filed on the above property on March 30, 2022 at 6:00 p.m. in the 2247 Northlake building. Eleven people attended, although not all signed the sign-in sheet, which is attached to this letter. The meeting lasted from approximately 6:00 p.m. until 7:15 p.m.

Steve Martin of SDM and Hank Farmer of Third & Urban answered the questions which were asked as follows:

- 1. Will all units be rental? Yes.
- 2. Will the units be individually metered? No, because of conversion office building.
- 3. What will be the unit mix? 70% one bedroom, 30% two bedroom
- 4. What will the approximate rental be for the units? Market.
- 5. There are too many apartments in the area. There is still substantial demand.
- 6. Why can't you build condominiums? Impossibility of financing.
- 7. Will this encourage more apartments? Concrete and steel requirement will keep some out of the market.

15024397 v1

Phone: 404.233.7000 | www.mmmlaw.com 1600 Atlanta Financial Center | 3343 Peachtree Road, NE | Atlanta, Georgia 30326 Atlanta • Raleigh • Savannah • Washington, DC

04/19/2022

RECEIVED

PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT

MORRIS MANNING & MARTIN, LLP

Kelly Thomas April 5, 2022 Page 2

- 8. What is status of sewer and stormwater? These are issues we will address with the County.
- 9. Will any of the units be subsidized? No.
- 10. Will each unit have its own controls for HVAC? Yes.
- Can you price this for workforce housing? We are pricing the units so that 47% of them are affordable at 120% of Tucker AMI.
- 12. What about traffic safety in the area? There are substantially fewer cars with this development than if the office building were repopulated.
- 13. Does this development incorporate the City's trail? Yes, it is along the townhome frontage.
- 14. How many parking spaces are there per bedroom? There are many more spaces in the existing deck than this development could ever use.
- 15. What is the projected buildout of the development? 14-16 months.
- 16. Will there be any retail in the development? No.

Please let me know if you have questions or need anything further.

Sincerely yours,

Carl E. Westmoreland, Jr.

cc: Steve Martin (via email: sdm@sdmpartners.com) Hank Farmer (via email: hankf@thirdandurban.com) RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

04/19/2022

PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT

SLUP-22-0002

15024397 v1

Sign-in Sheet

Meeting Regarding 2245 and 2247 Northlake Parkway

Name	Address	Email Address
MAtthew Lee		mlee atucker nonthluke . com
LESLEY HIGH	2331 NORTHLAKE CT NE ATC	lesdhgh@gmail.com
SuzanneBorche	+ 2340 NORAWAKOCTHE ATL	Suzbbiegmail.com
Tom Ulbricht	3571 Castlevidge Dr. Turker	FiceIbr@gmail.com
Janet Curtis	1887 Robin Hill Ct. Tucker	Curtisjan 843 @ Emails con
-		2
		RECEIVED
		CITY OF TUCKER
		04/19/2022

PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT

> SLUP-22-0002 11074054 v1

NORTHLAKE TUCKER

03.08.2022

RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

04/19/2022


PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT

Gensler 🗓 THIRD & URBAN






SITE PLAN



DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY

- Current Zoning: NL-2
- Site Area: 6.17 acres
- Proposed Use: Adaptive Reuse Multifamily
- Total Proposed Units: 216
- Density: 35 units/acre




ENLARGED SITE PLAN



DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY

- Current Zoning: NL-2
- Site Area: 6.17 acres
- Proposed Use: Adaptive Reuse Multifamily
- Total Proposed Units: 216
- Density: 35 units/acre



TYPICAL PLAN



RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

04/19/2022

PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT


Gensler URBAN



SLUP-22-0002

DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY

- Total Proposed Units: 216
- Average Unit Size: 965 sf
- Unit Mix: 70% 1-bed, 30% 2-bed
- Total Rentable: 208,457 sf



AERIAL VIEW RECEIVED



Gensler URBAN



SEMI-AERIAL VIEW



Gensler URBAN



THANK YOU!

RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

04/19/2022

PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT





Environmental Site Analysis Report

SDM Northlake City of Tucker, Georgia April 2022



Prepared for: City of Tucker On Behalf of SDM Northlake 2247 Northlake Parkway Tucker, GA 30084 Prepared by: Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. 11720 Amber Park Drive Suite 600 Alpharetta, GA 30009 770-619-4280

> RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

Kimley **»Horn**

04/19/2022

PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT

Environmental Site Analysis

1. <u>Conformance with the Comprehensive Plan</u>

SDM Northlake seeks to redevelop the existing Office property along of Northlake Parkway and Northlake Center Drive, including two (2) office buildings and one (1) parking deck. The site is located adjacent to commercial and residential areas. According to the site review, there are no FEMA floodplains, jurisdictional streams, wildlife habitat, or environmentally sensitive areas located within the property boundary.

SDM Northlake is seeking to demolish one (1) existing office building and to re-develop the front portion of the property in its location with approximately 80 Townhome Residential units. The second existing office building and parking deck are proposed to remain and are proposed to be converted to 216 Multifamily Residential units. Please refer to the site plan included for additional detail (Figure 5).

In accordance with the City of Tucker's Comprehensive Plan approved on April 23, 2018, the future use of the SDM Northlake property will maintain the City's vision of "preserving and improving neighborhoods" as well as "bolstering [the City's] economic base." The property seeks to repurpose an underutilized commercial development to further align with the City's vision.

2. Environmental Impacts of Proposed Project

The subject property totals approximately 14+/- acres and is located along of Northlake Parkway and Northlake Center Drive within the City of Tucker in DeKalb County, Georgia (Figure 1). An existing site survey was completed by Moreland Altobelli Associates, Inc. on August 24, 2017 (Figure 4).

A desktop analysis was performed using various online and GIS data sources to gain an understanding of the property's conditions and potential resources. These sources include wetlands, floodplains, streams/stream buffers, topography, slope, vegetation, and documented protected species and cultural resources. A detailed site delineation of streams and wetlands was not performed.

a. Wetlands

According to the National Wetlands Inventory (NWI), there are no mapped wetlands located within or adjacent to the property boundary. Known and potential aquatic resources are illustrated on Figure 2.

b. Floodplain

Areas classified as 100-year floodplains are subject to regulations that limit the extent to which development and fill activities can occur. According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Information, the subject property does not contain 100-year floodplains (Zone A) and is illustrated on Figure 2.

c. Streams/Stream Buffers

Possible streams were identified using vegetative signatures on aerial photographs, topographic maps, and National Hydrography Datasets (NHD) (Figures 2 & 3). No jurisdictional streams or their respective stream buffers are located within the project boundary. A detailed stream delineation and official jurisdictional determination was not performed.

SLUP-22-0002	RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

kimley-horn.com

11720 Amber Park Drive, Suite 600, Alpharetta, GA 30009

770 619 4280 2022

PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT

d. Slopes Exceeding 25 percent over 10-foot Rise in Elevation

Using the *Stone Mountain, GA* USGS 7.5-Minute quadrangle topographic maps, slopes and draws were identified within the site boundaries (Figure 3). The land surface generally slopes from higher points in the southern portion of the property towards the northern portion of the site.

e. Vegetation

The subject site is dominated by urban development with associated parking lots with surrounding mixed pine/hardwood forests within the property boundary (Figure 2). The subject site is located within the Southern Outer Piedmont Ecoregion (Level IV) of Georgia.

f. Wildlife

An online review of protected wildlife and plant species was performed to assess threatened or endangered State and Federal species that have known occurrences on or near the site. The Federal list of species within the property boundary in DeKalb County was generated through the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) system query report and the State list was generated through the Georgia Department of Natural Resources (GADNR) Natural Heritage Program (NHP) Species of Concern list. These reports are included in Attachment B.

The IPaC report documented one (1) plant on the federal list in DeKalb County.

The Stone Mountain, GA, NW Quarter Quad reports did not document any species with state protection. Based on the desktop analysis of the subject project site, critical habitat for State and Federally protected species was not observed within the property boundary.

Туре	Common Name	Scientific Name	Federal or State Rank	Critical Habitat at This Location? (Y/N)
Plant	Michaux's Sumac	Rhus michauxii	FE; E	N
	Key: FE= Federally Endangered, T= State Endangered			

At the present time, the State of Georgia does not have state regulations that require State protected species investigations for privately funded projects. The list of State species both with and without protection are intended to provide the project with a level of due diligence to satisfy decision making. Based on the information provided by USFWS and GADNR, no suitable habitat for protected species is not located within the property boundary.

g. Archeological/Historical Sites

An online review of available resources was performed to assess known listed sites that may have cultural or historic significance (Attachment C). The restricted public access database of the *National Register of Historic Places (NRHP)*, did not result in any historic sites within one (1) mile of the property. A review of the *Georgia Natural, Archaeological, and Historic Resources Geographic Information System (GNAHRGIS)* database was performed and did not result in any designated or listed cultural resource located within one (1) mile of the proposed project site. Based on the current land use plan, it is reasonably probable that direct impacts to cultural resources and their viewsheds are not expected to occur.

RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

SLUP-22-0002

04/19/2022

kimley-horn.com

11720 Amber Park Drive, Suite 600, Alpharetta, GA 30009

770 619 4280 PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT

3. **Project Implementation Measures**

a. Protection of Environmentally Sensitive Areas

The site does not contain any additional environmentally sensitive areas i.e. floodplains, slopes exceeding 25 percent, river corridors, etc.

b. Protection of Water Quality

The site utilizes existing stormwater management and will continue to utilize onsite stormwater management.

c. Minimization of Negative Impacts on Existing Infrastructure

The project proposes to demolish one (1) existing office building in order to re-develop to property and construct townhomes. One (1) parking garage and one (1) existing office building will remain onsite and are proposed to be converted to multifamily residential use.

d. Minimization on Archeological/Historically Significant Areas

There are no known archeological/historically significant areas within the property boundary.

e. Minimization of Negative Impacts on Environmentally Stressed Communities

Proposed improvements to the site will avoid environmental impacts. There are no indications that the site contains environmentally stressed communities.

f. Creation and Preservation of Green Space and Open Space

There is no net change in the allotment of green space and open space on the site.

g. Protection of Citizens from the Negative Impacts of Noise and Lighting

The site is currently developed and proposes re-zoning/development. Impacts to noise or lighting impacts to citizens will be minimized and avoided if possible.

h. Protection of Parks and Recreational Green Space

The property is currently developed and proposes re-zoning/development. Existing parks and recreational green space surrounding the site will not be impacted by the property.

i. Minimization of Impacts to Wildlife Habitats

The site is currently developed and proposes re-zoning/development. No suitable habitat of Federally or State Listed species is located within the property boundary. There are no impacts anticipated to wildlife or wildlife habitats within the property boundary.

<u>Summary</u>

Based on our Environmental Site Analysis, it is reasonably probable that the proposed project would not encroact up to the project up to the proposed project would not encroact up to the project up

kimley-horn.com

11720 Amber Park Drive, Suite 600, Alpharetta, GA 30009

770 619 4280 9/2022

Page | 4

Kimley **»Horn**

<u>Attachments</u>

Attachment A: Project Figures Figure 1: Vicinity Map Figure 2: FEMA Floodplains, NWI, & NHD Map Figure 3: USGS Topographic Map Figure 4: Existing Boundary Survey Figure 5: Master Plan

Attachment B: IPaC Report and GADNR QQ Rare Elements Report

Attachment C: NRHP Map and GNAHRGIS Map

RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

04/19/2022

PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT

kimley-horn.com

11720 Amber Park Drive, Suite 600, Alpharetta, GA 30009

770 619 4280


Attachment A:


Project Figures


RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

04/19/2022

PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT







	Northlake Par	LavistalRoad
	× Nu	800 Source: Esri, Mexar, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA, USGS, AcroGRID, IGN, and the GIS User Community
Kimley »Horn	RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER 04/19/2022	Figure 2: FEMA Floodplains, National Wetland Inventory (NWI), National Hydrography Dataset (NHD) Map SDM Northlake 1 inch = 200 feet
	PLANNING & ZONING	City of Tucker February 2021 SLUP-22-0002

Items Corresponding to Schedule B

tes Corresponding to Special Ex I Republic National Title Insurar mmitment No.: 2-35515(R1) ective Date: July 24, 2017 at 5:0	
	Cash to Georgia Power Company, dated September 13, 1932, filed for record November 26, orded in Deed Book 373, Page 589, Records of DeKalb County, Georgia. (Affects property; shown.)
	TTED
	ITED
	TTED
	& Associates to Georgia Power Company, dated September 23, 1971, filed for record 9:55 a.m., recorded in Deed Book 2730, Page 20, aforesaid Records. (Affects property; shown.)
Associates, a partnersh Partnership Agreement	obligations as contained in that certain Easement from R. G. Talman to LaVista Perimeter hip composed of Glenn E. Hicks, Jr., Curtis 0. Hicks, and Max R. Johnson under a t dated April 30, 1971, dated June 30, 1972, filed for record August 23, 1972 at 9:55 a.m., x 2870, Page 317, aforesaid Records. (Affects property as shown.)
a partnership under Ag Johnson and Robert E. Co., a limited partnersh dated November 24, 19	I obligations as contained in that certain Warranty Deed from LaVista Perimeter Associates, greement dated April 30, 1971, by and among Glenn E. Hicks, Jr., Curtis 0. Hicks, Max R. . McAfee, Jr. to Richard Heller, Frances H. Cahen, Phillis H. Rosenthal and 200 E. 38th St. hip, in which limited partnership Allan Stillman and Stanley Stillman are the General Partners, 975, filed for record December 3, 1975 at 9:37 a.m., recorded in Deed Book 3422 Page 69, fects property as shown.)
dated March 18, 1980,	Kalb County Sewer Easement from CA Southern Investors, Ltd. to DeKalb County, Georgia, filed for record March 19, 1980 at 3:51 p.m., recorded in Deed Book 4236, Page 246, fects property as shown.)
	ITTED
Telephone and Telegra	communication Right-of-Way Easement from The Vanderbilt University to Southern Bell aph Company, dated May 27, 1980, filed for record June 26, 1980 at 12:41 p.m., recorded in e 403, aforesaid Records. (Affects property as shown.)
	ent from CA Southern Investors, Ltd. to Georgia Power Company, dated February 28, 1980, 1980 at 1:19 p.m., recorded in Deed Book 4292, Page 164, aforesaid Records. (Affects
	nt from CA Southern Investors, Ltd. to Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company, led for record May 11, 1990 at 8:30 a.m., recorded in Deed Book 6700, Page 314, aforesaid erty as shown.)
October 16, 1997, filed	outhern Investors, Ltd. to BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., a Georgia corporation, dated I for record January 12, 1998 at 8:30 a.m., recorded in Deed Book 9788, Page 755, fects property as shown.)
	TTED
	TTED
	ITED
evidenced by that certa LLC, a Delaware limited dated as of August 1, 2 aforesaid Records; as a Offer by and between E September 9, 2010 at 1 amended by that certain ECM Tucker, LLC and <i>i</i>	of that certain Lease Agreement and right of first offer, including right of first offer, as ain Memorandum of Lease and Notice of Right of First Offer by and between ECM Tucker, d liability company ("Landlord") and AT&T Services, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("Tenant"), 2008, filed for record August 7, 2008 at 3:09 p.m., recorded in Deed Book 20985, Page 439, amended by that certain Amended and Restated Memorandum of Lease and Right of First ECM Tucker, LLC and AT&T Services, Inc., a Delaware corporation, undated, filed for record 11:10 a.m., recorded in Deed Book 22123, Page 654, aforesaid Records; as further n Amended and Restated Memorandum of Lease and Right of First Offer by and between AT&T Services, Inc., a Delaware corporation, undated, filed for record september 9, 2010 at Deed Book 22123, Page 660, aforesaid Records. (Affects property; Not survey related.)
	e Agreement contains a right of first offer which has been waived for the insured transaction. appear in the final policy if enforceable waiver of right of first offer is delivered at or prior to
	TTED
County, dated effective Deed Book 22123, Pag	nts, Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions by the Development Authority of DeKalb e as of September 3, 2010, filed for record September 9, 2010 at 11:10 a.m., recorded in ge 634, aforesaid Records; but omitting any restriction based on race, color, religion, sex,

icap, familial status, or national origin unless and only to the extent that said covenant (a) is exempt unde Chapter 42, Section 3607 of the United States Code or (b) relates to handicap but does not discriminate against handicapped persons. (Affect property as shown.)

(Z) All those matters as disclosed by that certain plat recorded in Plat Book 218, Page 93, aforesaid Records. (Affects [/] property as shown.)

Survey Notes

A Topcon GTS-313 Total Station with (EDM) Electronic Distance Meter was used to obtain the angular and linear measurements for this survey.

The field data upon which this plat is based has a closure precision of one foot in 13,307 feet, an angular error of 12 seconds per angle point, and was adjusted using compass rule.

This plat has been calculated for closure and found to be accurate within one foot in 1,136,645 feet.

There are 1,527 regular parking spaces and 31 handicap parking spaces located on the Property.

There is no evidence of Earth moving work.

There is no evidence of currently ongoing Building construction and Building additions.

There is no evidence of any changes in street right of way.

The property has direct access to Northlake Parkway which is an accepted public street or highway.

There is no evidence of cemeteries or burial grounds.

There is no evidence of the site being used as a solid waste dump, storage of hazardous waste, a sump, or sanitary landfill.

All field measurements matched record dimensions within the precision requirements of ALTA/ACSM specifications unless otherwise shown.

Bearings are based on the axle found in the northeast corner of of property and the 1/2 inch rebar found at the southeasterly corner of the property. Forming a bearing of S00°12'00"E.

By observed above ground evidence, no undisturbed stream buffers were found on the property.

There are no party walls located on the subject property.

There are offsite easements that benefit the subject property.

This surveyor has not been advised of any designated wetland areas on the property.

The subject property abuts and has direct, physical access to Northlake Center Drive and Northlake Parkway, each a publicly dedicated right-of-way.

The subject property is contiguous with the easements established in the document recorded in Deed Book 2870, Page 317 and Deed Book 3422, Page 69, in the Register's Office of DeKalb County, along all common boundaries.

Location of utilities are based on observed evidence and markings provided by a utility locator.

FLOOD NOTE:

By graphic plotting only, this property is in zone "X" Flood Insurance Rate Map Number 13089C0076J which bears an effective date of 05-16-13 and is Not in a special flood hazard area by contact dated 08-23-2017 to the National Flood Insurance Program http://www.fema.gov/ we have learned this community does currently participate in the program. no field surveying was performed to determine this zone and an elevation certificate may be needed to verify this determination or apply for a variance from the Federal Emergency Management Agency.

Recorded Legal Description

All that tract or parcel of land lying and being in Land Lot 210 of the 18th District, DeKalb County, Georgia, and being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a PK nail found on the eastern right-of-way line of Northlake Parkway (80-foot right-ofway), said PK nail located a distance of 1,148.70 feet, as measured northeasterly along said right-of-way line of Northlake Parkway, and following the curvature thereof, from the intersection of the eastern rightof-way line of Northlake Parkway with the northerly right-of-way line of LaVista Road (also known as State Route 236) (right-of-way width varies); running along said right-of-way line of Northlake Parkway, North 00 degrees 00 minutes 30 seconds East a distance of 296.50 feet to a 1/2-inch rebar found at the intersection of said right-of-way line of Northlake Parkway with the eastern right-of-way line of Northlake Center Drive (right-of-way width varies); running thence along said right-of-way line of Northlake Center Drive, North 00 degrees 00 minutes 30 seconds East a distance of 478.30 feet to a 1/2-inch rebar found; thence leaving the eastern right-of way line of Northlake Center Drive, and running North 89 degrees 37 minutes 30 seconds East a distance of 937.90 feet to a 1-inch axle found at stone on the land lot line dividing Land Lots 210 and 211, aforesaid district and county; running thence along said land lot line, South 00 degrees 12 minutes 00 seconds East a distance of 402.80 feet to a 1/2-inch rebar found; thence leaving said land lot line, and running South 89 degrees 49 minutes 50 seconds West a distance of 272.70 feet to a 1/2-inch rebar found; running thence South 00 degrees 10 minutes 10 seconds East a distance of 333.00 feet to a PK nail found; running thence South 89 degrees 49 minutes 30 seconds West a distance of 30.00 feet to a PK nail found; running thence North 00 degrees 10 minutes 30 seconds West a distance of 31.00 feet to a PK nail set; running thence South 89 degrees 49 minutes 30 seconds West a distance of 378.66 feet to a PK nail found; running thence South 00 degrees 10 minutes 30 seconds East a distance of 73.30 feet to a PK nail found; running thence South 89 degrees 49 minutes 30 seconds West a distance of 259.16 feet to the PK nail found at the Point of Beginning.

Said property contains 597,905 square feet (13.726 acres) more or less, and is more particularly shown on ALTA/ACSM Land Title Survey prepared for ECM by Moreland Altobell Associates, Inc., bearing the seal and certification of Michael Dorman-Potthoff, Georgia Registered Land Surveyor No. 2597, dated May 29, 2008, which said survey is by this reference incorporated herein and made a part hereof.

The lands surveyed, shown and described hereon are the same lands as described in the Old Republic National Title Insurance Company Commitment No. 2-35515(R1), with an effective date of July 24, 2017 at 5:00 p.m.

1

DRI (AS

CENTER

AKE TH PU

JH MD

 δO

ΜZ

IOR THL A. (VARIABLE

イワ

ROAD)

XAN VAN

MERL

Ľ

À

4Ki PC

ルト

RKWA

ゼ

エ

VOR , DI

0

ROA

XAN

Ъ

と א גיצן

SIGN ESMT

SIGN -BELL SOUTH

1/2" REBAR

ACCESS

BACKFLOW

PREVENTER

BACKFLOW

PREVENTER

ABOVE

ROCK WAL

>POB


SIGN ESMTS

DB 22123 PG 634

(0

BBBB

DB 22123 PG 6






Figure 5: Master Plan



Attachment B:

IPaC Report GADNR QQ Rare Elements Report

> RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

> > 04/19/2022

PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT

IPaC resource list

This report is an automatically generated list of species and other resources such as critical habitat (collectively referred to as *trust resources*) under the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (USFWS) jurisdiction that are known or expected to be on or near the project area referenced below. The list may also include trust resources that occur outside of the project area, but that could potentially be directly or indirectly affected by activities in the project area. However, determining the likelihood and extent of effects a project may have on trust resources typically requires gathering additional site-specific (e.g., vegetation/species surveys) and project-specific (e.g., magnitude and timing of proposed activities) information.

Below is a summary of the project information you provided and contact information for the USFWS office(s) with jurisdiction in the defined project area. Please read the introduction to each section that follows (Endangered Species, Migratory Birds, USFWS Facilities, and NWI Wetlands) for additional information applicable to the trust resources addressed in that section.

Location

DeKalb County, Georgia



Local office

Georgia Ecological Services Field Office

└ (706) 613-9493**i** (706) 613-6059

355 East Hancock Avenue Room 320 Athens, GA 30601

RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

04/19/2022

PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT

Endangered species

This resource list is for informational purposes only and does not constitute an analysis of project level impacts.

The primary information used to generate this list is the known or expected range of each species. Additional areas of influence (AOI) for species are also considered. An AOI includes areas outside of the species range if the species could be indirectly affected by activities in that area (e.g., placing a dam upstream of a fish population even if that fish does not occur at the dam site, may indirectly impact the species by reducing or eliminating water flow downstream). Because species can move, and site conditions can change, the species on this list are not guaranteed to be found on or near the project area. To fully determine any potential effects to species, additional site-specific and project-specific information is often required.

Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act **requires** Federal agencies to "request of the Secretary information whether any species which is listed or proposed to be listed may be present in the area of such proposed action" for any project that is conducted, permitted, funded, or licensed by any Federal agency. A letter from the local office and a species list which fulfills this requirement can **only** be obtained by requesting an official species list from either the Regulatory Review section in IPaC (see directions below) or from the local field office directly.

For project evaluations that require USFWS concurrence/review, please return to the IPaC website and request an official species list by doing the following:

- 1. Draw the project location and click CONTINUE.
- 2. Click DEFINE PROJECT.
- 3. Log in (if directed to do so).
- 4. Provide a name and description for your project.
- 5. Click REQUEST SPECIES LIST.

Flowering Plants

Listed species¹ and their critical habitats are managed by the <u>Ecological Services Program</u> of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the fisheries division of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA Fisheries²).

Species and critical habitats under the sole responsibility of NOAA Fisheries are **not** shown on this list. Please contact <u>NOAA Fisheries</u> for <u>species under their jurisdiction</u>.

- Species listed under the <u>Endangered Species Act</u> are threatened or endangered; IPaC also shows species that are candidates, or proposed, for listing. See the <u>listing status page</u> for more information. IPaC only shows species that are regulated by USFWS (see FAQ).
- 2. <u>NOAA Fisheries</u>, also known as the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), is an officer of the TUCKER National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration within the Department of Commerce.

The following species are potentially affected by activities in this location:

04/19/2022

PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT

NAME

STATUS

Endangered

Michaux's Sumac Rhus michauxii Wherever found No critical habitat has been designated for this species. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/5217</u>

Critical habitats

Potential effects to critical habitat(s) in this location must be analyzed along with the endangered species themselves.

THERE ARE NO CRITICAL HABITATS AT THIS LOCATION.

Migratory birds

Certain birds are protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act¹ and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act².

Any person or organization who plans or conducts activities that may result in impacts to migratory birds, eagles, and their habitats should follow appropriate regulations and consider implementing appropriate conservation measures, as described <u>below</u>.

- 1. The <u>Migratory Birds Treaty Act</u> of 1918.
- 2. The Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act of 1940.

Additional information can be found using the following links:

- Birds of Conservation Concern <u>http://www.fws.gov/birds/management/managed-species/</u> <u>birds-of-conservation-concern.php</u>
- Measures for avoiding and minimizing impacts to birds <u>http://www.fws.gov/birds/management/project-assessment-tools-and-guidance/</u> <u>conservation-measures.php</u>
- Nationwide conservation measures for birds <u>http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/pdf/management/nationwidestandardconservationmeasures.pdf</u>

The birds listed below are birds of particular concern either because they occur on the USEWS Birds of Conservation Concern (BCC) list or warrant special attention in your project location. To learn more about the levels of concern for birds on your list and how this list is generated, see the FAQ below. This is not a list of every bird you may find in this location, nor a guarantee that every bird on this list will be found in your project area. To see exact locations of where birders and the general public have sighted birds in and around your project area, visit the <u>E-bird data mapping tool</u> (TECEIVED enter your location, desired date range and a species on your list). For projects that occuCoffft(QF TUCKER Atlantic Coast, additional maps and models detailing the relative occurrence and abundance of bird species on your list are available. Links to additional information about Atlantic Coast birds, O4Hd 9/2022 other important information about your migratory bird list, including how to properly interpret and use your migratory bird report, can be found <u>below</u>.

SLUP-22-0002 3/9

For guidance on when to schedule activities or implement avoidance and minimization measures to reduce impacts to migratory birds on your list, click on the PROBABILITY OF PRESENCE SUMMARY at the top of your list to see when these birds are most likely to be present and breeding in your project area.

NAME	BREEDING SEASON (IF A BREEDING SEASON IS INDICATED FOR A BIRD ON YOUR LIST, THE BIRD MAY BREED IN YOUR PROJECT AREA SOMETIME WITHIN THE TIMEFRAME SPECIFIED, WHICH IS A VERY LIBERAL ESTIMATE OF THE DATES INSIDE WHICH THE BIRD BREEDS ACROSS ITS ENTIRE RANGE. "BREEDS ELSEWHERE" INDICATES THAT THE BIRD DOES NOT LIKELY BREED IN YOUR PROJECT AREA.)
Blue-winged Warbler Vermivora pinus This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA	Breeds May 1 to Jun 30
Cerulean Warbler Dendroica cerulea This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/2974</u>	Breeds Apr 28 to Jul 20
Kentucky Warbler Oporornis formosus This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.	Breeds Apr 20 to Aug 20
King Rail Rallus elegans This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/8936</u>	Breeds May 1 to Sep 5
Prairie Warbler Dendroica discolor This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.	Breeds May 1 to Jul 31
Prothonotary Warbler Protonotaria citrea This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.	Breeds Apr 1 to Jul 31 RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER
	04/19/2022
Red-headed Woodpecker Melanerpes erythrocephalus This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.	Breeds May 10 to Sep 10 PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT
	SLUP-22-0002

Breeds elsewhere

Rusty Blackbird Euphagus carolinus This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.

Breeds May 10 to Aug 31

Wood Thrush Hylocichla mustelina This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.

Probability of Presence Summary

The graphs below provide our best understanding of when birds of concern are most likely to be present in your project area. This information can be used to tailor and schedule your project activities to avoid or minimize impacts to birds. Please make sure you read and understand the FAQ "Proper Interpretation and Use of Your Migratory Bird Report" before using or attempting to interpret this report.

Probability of Presence (

Each green bar represents the bird's relative probability of presence in the 10km grid cell(s) your project overlaps during a particular week of the year. (A year is represented as 12 4-week months.) A taller bar indicates a higher probability of species presence. The survey effort (see below) can be used to establish a level of confidence in the presence score. One can have higher confidence in the presence score if the corresponding survey effort is also high.

How is the probability of presence score calculated? The calculation is done in three steps:

- 1. The probability of presence for each week is calculated as the number of survey events in the week where the species was detected divided by the total number of survey events for that week. For example, if in week 12 there were 20 survey events and the Spotted Towhee was found in 5 of them, the probability of presence of the Spotted Towhee in week 12 is 0.25.
- 2. To properly present the pattern of presence across the year, the relative probability of presence is calculated. This is the probability of presence divided by the maximum probability of presence across all weeks. For example, imagine the probability of presence in week 20 for the Spotted
- Towhee is 0.05, and that the probability of presence at week 12 (0.25) is the maximum of any week of the year. The relative probability of presence on week 12 is 0.25/0.25 = 1; at week 20 it is 0.05/0.25 = 0.2.
- 3. The relative probability of presence calculated in the previous step undergoes a statistical conversion so that all possible values fall between 0 and 10, inclusive. This is the probability of presence score.

To see a bar's probability of presence score, simply hover your mouse cursor over the bar.

Breeding Season (=)

RECEIVED

04/19/2022

Yellow bars denote a very liberal estimate of the time-frame inside which the bird breeds across its TUCKER entire range. If there are no yellow bars shown for a bird, it does not breed in your project area.

Survey Effort ()

Vertical black lines superimposed on probability of presence bars indicate the number of surveys performed for that species in the 10km grid cell(s) your project area overlaps. The number of a species in the 10km grid cell(s) your project area overlaps. DEPARTMENT surveys is expressed as a range, for example, 33 to 64 surveys.

To see a bar's survey effort range, simply hover your mouse cursor over the bar.

No Data (–)

A week is marked as having no data if there were no survey events for that week.

Survey Timeframe

Surveys from only the last 10 years are used in order to ensure delivery of currently relevant information. The exception to this is areas off the Atlantic coast, where bird returns are based on all years of available data, since data in these areas is currently much more sparse.

Tell me more about conservation measures I can implement to avoid or minimize impacts to migratory birds.

Nationwide Conservation Measures describes measures that can help avoid and minimize impacts to all birds at any location year round. Implementation of these measures is particularly important when birds are most likely to occur in the project area. When birds may be breeding in the area, identifying the locations of any active nests and avoiding their destruction is a very helpful impact minimization measure. To see when birds are most likely to occur and be breeding in your project area, view the Probability of Presence Summary. Additional measures or permits may be advisable depending on the type of activity you are conducting and the type of infrastructure or bird species present on your project site.

What does IPaC use to generate the migratory birds potentially occurring in my specified location?

The Migratory Bird Resource List is comprised of USFWS <u>Birds of Conservation Concern (BCC)</u> and other species that may warrant special attention in your project location.

The migratory bird list generated for your project is derived from data provided by the <u>Avian Knowledge Network</u> (<u>AKN</u>). The AKN data is based on a growing collection of <u>survey</u>, <u>banding</u>, <u>and citizen science datasets</u> and is queried and filtered to return a list of those birds reported as occurring in the 10km grid cell(s) which your project intersects, and that have been identified as warranting special attention because they are a BCC species in that area, an eagle (<u>Eagle Act</u> requirements may apply), or a species that has a particular vulnerability to offshore activities or development.

Again, the Migratory Bird Resource list includes only a subset of birds that may occur in your project area. It is not representative of all birds that may occur in your project area. To get a list of all birds potentially present in your project area, please visit the <u>AKN Phenology Tool</u>.

What does IPaC use to generate the probability of presence graphs for the migratory birds potentially occurring in my specified location?

The probability of presence graphs associated with your migratory bird list are based on data provided by the <u>Avian Knowledge Network (AKN)</u>. This data is derived from a growing collection of <u>survey, banding, and citizen</u> <u>science datasets</u>.

Probability of presence data is continuously being updated as new and better information becomes available. To learn more about how the probability of presence graphs are produced and how to interpret them, go the Probability of Presence Summary and then click on the "Tell me about these graphs" link.

How do I know if a bird is breeding, wintering, migrating or present year-round in my project area?

To see what part of a particular bird's range your project area falls within (i.e. breeding, wintering, migrating of TUCKER year-round), you may refer to the following resources: The Cornell Lab of Ornithology All About Birds Bird Guide, or (if you are unsuccessful in locating the bird of interest there), the Cornell Lab of Ornithology Neotropicabline (1992) 2022 guide. If a bird on your migratory bird species list has a breeding season associated with it, if that bird does occur in your project area, there may be nests present at some point within the timeframe specified. If "Breeds elsewhere" is indicated, then the bird likely does not breed in your project area.

What are the levels of concern for migratory birds?

Migratory birds delivered through IPaC fall into the following distinct categories of concern:

- 1. "BCC Rangewide" birds are <u>Birds of Conservation Concern</u> (BCC) that are of concern throughout their range anywhere within the USA (including Hawaii, the Pacific Islands, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands);
- 2. "BCC BCR" birds are BCCs that are of concern only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA; and
- 3. "Non-BCC Vulnerable" birds are not BCC species in your project area, but appear on your list either because of the <u>Eagle Act</u> requirements (for eagles) or (for non-eagles) potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities (e.g. offshore energy development or longline fishing).

Although it is important to try to avoid and minimize impacts to all birds, efforts should be made, in particular, to avoid and minimize impacts to the birds on this list, especially eagles and BCC species of rangewide concern. For more information on conservation measures you can implement to help avoid and minimize migratory bird impacts and requirements for eagles, please see the FAQs for these topics.

Details about birds that are potentially affected by offshore projects

For additional details about the relative occurrence and abundance of both individual bird species and groups of bird species within your project area off the Atlantic Coast, please visit the <u>Northeast Ocean Data Portal</u>. The Portal also offers data and information about other taxa besides birds that may be helpful to you in your project review. Alternately, you may download the bird model results files underlying the portal maps through the <u>NOAA NCCOS</u> <u>Integrative Statistical Modeling and Predictive Mapping of Marine Bird Distributions and Abundance on the Atlantic Outer Continental Shelf</u> project webpage.

Bird tracking data can also provide additional details about occurrence and habitat use throughout the year, including migration. Models relying on survey data may not include this information. For additional information on marine bird tracking data, see the <u>Diving Bird Study</u> and the <u>nanotag studies</u> or contact <u>Caleb Spiegel</u> or <u>Pam</u> <u>Loring</u>.

What if I have eagles on my list?

If your project has the potential to disturb or kill eagles, you may need to <u>obtain a permit</u> to avoid violating the Eagle Act should such impacts occur.

Proper Interpretation and Use of Your Migratory Bird Report

The migratory bird list generated is not a list of all birds in your project area, only a subset of birds of priority concern. To learn more about how your list is generated, and see options for identifying what other birds may be in your project area, please see the FAQ "What does IPaC use to generate the migratory birds potentially occurring in my specified location". Please be aware this report provides the "probability of presence" of birds within the 10 km grid cell(s) that overlap your project; not your exact project footprint. On the graphs provided, please also look carefully at the survey effort (indicated by the black vertical bar) and for the existence of the "no data" indicator (a red horizontal bar). A high survey effort is the key component. If the survey effort bar or no data bar means a lack of data and, therefore, a lack of certainty about presence of the species. This list is not perfect; it is simply a starting point for identifying what birds of concern have the potential to be in your project area, when they might be there, and if they might be breeding (which means nests might be present). The list helps you know what to look for to confirm presence, and helps guide you in knowing when to implement conservation measures to avoid or minimize potential impacts from your project activities, should presence be confirmed. To learn more about VED conservation measures, visit the FAQ "Tell me about conservation measures I can implement to avoid or minimize impacts to migratory birds" at the bottom of your migratory bird trust resources page.

04/19/2022

Facilities

National Wildlife Refuge lands

Any activity proposed on lands managed by the <u>National Wildlife Refuge</u> system must undergo a 'Compatibility Determination' conducted by the Refuge. Please contact the individual Refuges to discuss any questions or concerns.

THERE ARE NO REFUGE LANDS AT THIS LOCATION.

Fish hatcheries

THERE ARE NO FISH HATCHERIES AT THIS LOCATION.

Wetlands in the National Wetlands Inventory

Impacts to <u>NWI wetlands</u> and other aquatic habitats may be subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, or other State/Federal statutes.

For more information please contact the Regulatory Program of the local <u>U.S. Army Corps of</u> <u>Engineers District</u>.

THERE ARE NO KNOWN WETLANDS AT THIS LOCATION.

Data limitations

The Service's objective of mapping wetlands and deepwater habitats is to produce reconnaissance level information on the location, type and size of these resources. The maps are prepared from the analysis of high altitude imagery. Wetlands are identified based on vegetation, visible hydrology and geography. A margin of error is inherent in the use of imagery; thus, detailed on-the-ground inspection of any particular site may result in revision of the wetland boundaries or classification established through image analysis.

The accuracy of image interpretation depends on the quality of the imagery, the experience of the image analysts, the amount and quality of the collateral data and the amount of ground truth verification work conducted. Metadata should be consulted to determine the date of the source imagery used and any mapping problems.

Wetlands or other mapped features may have changed since the date of the imagery or field work. There may be occasional differences in polygon boundaries or classifications between the information depicted on the map and the actual conditions on site.

CITY OF TUCKER

Data exclusions

Certain wetland habitats are excluded from the National mapping program because of the limitations of aerial imagery as the primary data source used to detect wetlands. These habitats include seagrasses or submerged aquatic vegetation that are found in the intertidal and subtidal zones of estuaries and nearshore coastal water TMENT

Some deepwater reef communities (coral or tuberficid worm reefs) have also been excluded from the inventory. These habitats, because of their depth, go undetected by aerial imagery.

Data precautions

Federal, state, and local regulatory agencies with jurisdiction over wetlands may define and describe wetlands in a different manner than that used in this inventory. There is no attempt, in either the design or products of this inventory, to define the limits of proprietary jurisdiction of any Federal, state, or local government or to establish the geographical scope of the regulatory programs of government agencies. Persons intending to engage in activities involving modifications within or adjacent to wetland areas should seek the advice of appropriate federal, state, or local agencies concerning specified agency regulatory programs and proprietary jurisdictions that may affect such activities.

NOTFORCONSULTATIO

RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

04/19/2022

PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT

Stone Mountain, GA, NW Quarter Quad

All Tracked Natural Elements With or Without Protection Status

0 element records in list

ANIMALS

NATURAL COMMUNITIES

OTHER NATURAL ELEMENTS

PLANTS

RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

04/19/2022

PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT

Attachment C:

NRHP Map GNAHRGIS Map

> RECEIVED CITY OF TUCKER

> > 04/19/2022


PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT

770 619 4280

National Register of Historic Places

Public, non-restricted data depicting National Register spatial data processed by the Cultural Resources GIS facility. ...

National Park Service U.S. Department of the Interior



tp://www.mapbox.com/about/maps/) © OpenStreetMap (https://www.openstreetmap.org/copyright) contributors | Cultural Resources GIS, National Park Service | Geocoding by Esri DEPARTMENT

Home (https://www.nps.gov/ Frequently Asked Questions (https://www.nps.gov/faqs.htm) Website Policies (https://www.nps.gov/aboutus/website-policies.htm)

GNAHRGIS Map Henderson Mi Evans Rd 2 LIN Ro Sha llowford Flowers Rd S Select Features And Layers GWINNET +403 Henderson Park Gavey OINE Yender Buffer? 🗹 1 Movale Rd N Royal Allan Approximate Property Mill Creek **Clear Selection** mi 🗸 Tucker Mountain-Industrial-BILo Boundary 1000 1 V Historic Resources 1094 Rd 236 Northlake Mall Fellowship Tucker Industrial Briarchift Rd Brockett Rd High Howell Rd Pg-Stone Mountain Creek Lei unone Mountain Ag Rd Creek 10 awrent 5 outh For Mos Fair Oaks Rd 82 Stone Mountain Paus Silver Hill Rd Uler of the second 407 1000 ft 410 Stone Mounta 78 dQ.



04/19/2022

PLANNING & ZONING DEPARTMENT SLUP-22-0002